

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,148	99/981,148 10/15/2001		Rodney Kern	29020/99020B 6187		
4743	7590 05/2	002	,			
	LL, GERSTEIN &	EXAMINER				
6300 SEARS 233 SOUTH	WACKER	REDMAN, JERRY E				
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3634	3634	
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· Comment of the control of the cont	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/981,148	KERN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
V·	Jerry Redman	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>paper</u>							
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,15,28 and 29 is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-11,15,28 and 29</u> is/are rejected.						
	,						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ⊠ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/981,148

Art Unit: 3634

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 15, and 28-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-13 of U. S. Patent No. 6,330,763 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Kern et al. ('763) claims a sliding door, a first seal



Art Unit: 3634

attached to a first end of the door, a second seal disposed along a second distal end of the door such that the when the door is in a closed position, the door is thereby sealed by the seals.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.


The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-11, and 15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark. Clark discloses a first panel (C), a second panel (D), a first and second seal (18 and 19) engaging one another in the closed position such that the foamed seal is compressed into sealing contact, a tilted track (13 and 14) mounting the first and second panel, and an actuation system (52) driving the first and second panel to a closed position.

Claims 28 and 29 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saucier. Saucier discloses a plurality of panels (12-16) having extruded sealing elements (22-26) attached to a front portion of the panel and extruded sealing elements (18-21) attached to a rear surface of the panels such that as one panel is



Art Unit: 3634

moved linearly the rear portion sealingly engages a front portion thereby closing an opening.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patent to Kern et al. ('763 and '097) disclose elements similar to that of the applicants invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jony Redman
Primary Examiner